

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/728,412	12/05/2003	Russ Wankowski	1498	9729
7590 03/24/2006			EXAMINER	
Donald J. Ersler			CRANE, DANIEL C	
Attorney at Law 725 Garvens Ave.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Brookfield, WI 53005			3725	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commons	10/728,412	WANKOWSKI, RUSS	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel C. Crane	3725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wi - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim Ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 De</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This a 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 21-32 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 21-27 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4, 6, 28-30 and 32 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5 and 31 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	pted or b) objected to by the E lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa		

Application/Control Number: 10/728,412

Art Unit: 3725

BASIS FOR REJECTIONS

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

REJECTION OF CLAIMS OVER PRIOR ART

Claim 1, 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) or alternatively under 103(a) as being anticipated or unpatentable over Jackson (2,990,734). Jackson discloses the process steps where a shank portion of a stud 8 is engaged by a shank engagement member 1 and moved relative to a fixed portion of the shank to deflect the shank portion. It is inherent that the stud head is held in a fixed manner so as to counteract the forces applied during movement of the shank engagement member thereby causing the shank portion of the stud 8 to be bent.

Accordingly, the stud head must be held in some manner to effect the shank bending. Simply placing the workpiece 10 on a supporting table would constitute a "head engagement member" and function identically. The preamble offers no limiting features since "bent snowmobile studs" can relate to any stud/rivet joint that is applied to various different connecting applications on a snowmobile. Thus, the claimed method steps are anticipated by Jackson. Alternatively, it

Art Unit: 3725

is the examiner's position that it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan having the benefit of Jackson's method for forming a joint connection to apply the method to snowmobile joints where a secure rivet-like joint is required, such as in the sheet housing connecting non-metallic members together, framework connections, upholstery attachments, etc. As to claim 4, the fact that the shank is threaded does not affect the process steps.

Claims 2, 3, 28-30 and 32 are rejected under 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jackson (2,990,734). The comments set out supra are incorporated herein. The degree of bend would have been a matter of choice in Jackson's invention since the amount of bend would be dictated by the sufficiency of the fracture needed. Furthermore, the amount would also be dependent upon the size and shape of the shank of the stud. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan at the time of the invention to have performed bends within the claimed angles when the size and shape dictates such.

WITHDRAWAL FROM CONSIDERATION

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-6 and 28-32, drawn to a method for bending a snowmobile stud, classified in class 72, subclass 316.
- II. Claims 21-27, drawn to bent snowmobile stud, classified in class 305, subclass185.

The inventions are independent or distinct, each from the other because:

Application/Control Number: 10/728,412

Art Unit: 3725

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the finished product, represented by the product-by-process claims, could be made without recourse to the claimed process. Because of the peculiar nature of product-by-process claims, it is the finished product per se that carries the features of the subject matter. Accordingly, the finished product, i.e., bent snowmobile stud, could be made by rolling.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant's election of Group in the Response of December 1, 2005 has been noted. Accordingly, in view of the above division of claims, claims 21-27 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on December 1, 2005.

INDICATION OF ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

Claims 5 and 31 are objected to.

Art Unit: 3725

PRIOR ART CITED BY EXAMINER

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

RESPONSE BY APPLICANT(S)

Applicant(s) response to be fully responsive and to provide for a clear record must

specifically point out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the

references, both those references applied in the objections and rejections and those references

cited in view of the state of the art in accordance with 37 CFR 1.111 (a), (b) and (c).

INQUIRIES

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Examiner D. Crane whose telephone number is (571) 272-4516.

The examiner's FAX number is (571) 273-4516. The examiner's supervisor, Mr. Derris Banks,

can be reached at (571) 272-4419.

Application/Control Number: 10/728,412 Page 6

Art Unit: 3725

Documents related to the instant application may be submitted directly to Group 3700 by facsimile transmission at all times. Applicant(s) is(are) reminded to clearly mark any transmission as "DRAFT" if it is not to be considered as an official response. The Office Facsimile Center number is (571) 273-8300.

DCCrane March 10, 2006 Daniel C. Crane

Primary Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 3725